Statistics

Totals

- Total LEIs: 1,777,731 (+1.18 %)
- New issued LEIs: 20,448 (-5.66 %)
- Renewed LEIs: 140,295 (+21.35 %)
- New lapsed LEIs: 26,782 (+6.15 %)
- Countries: 226 (+/-0 %)
- LEI issuers: 37 (+/-0 %)

Level 2 Info

- LEIs with LEI parent relationships: 132,096 (+1.48 %)
- LEIs with complete parent information: 1,563,458 (+1.22 %)

Duplicates

- Total LEIs marked as duplicate: 4,655 (+0.73 %)
- Duplicate percentage of total LEI records: < 1 % (-0.44 %)
- LEIs marked as duplicate this month: 34 (+8.82 %)

Challenges

- Challenges this month: 227 (-18.63 %)
- Duplicates found this month: 28 (+33.33 %)
- Updates to entity information this month: 105 (-42.62 %)

* Please see our Business Report: [www.gleif.org/business-reports](http://www.gleif.org/business-reports)

** RegistrationStatus = DUPLICATE

Quality Maturity Level

Average maturity level: 0.99

- Dec 33/37 (89 %) vs Dec 11/37 (29 %) vs Dec 7/37 (18 %)
- Nov 34/37 (91 %) vs Oct 35/37 (94 %)
- LEI issuers achieving maturity level 1
- LEI issuers achieving maturity level 2
- LEI issuers achieving maturity level 3

Data Quality Scores

LEI Total Data Quality Score

The LEI Total Data Quality Score is calculated as the equal weighted average of the 11 'Data Quality Criteria' listed below.

- Accessibility
- Accuracy
- Completeness
- Comprehensiveness
- Consistency
- Currency
- Provenance
- Integrity
- Representation
- Uniqueness
- Validity

LEI Total Data Quality Score Trend

Progress achieved with regard to the continuous optimization of the data quality based on the LEI Total Data Quality Score.

Data Quality Heat Map in Covered Countries

Summary

The assessment conducted on 31 December 2020 shows that the number of LEI issuers achieving the required data quality slightly missed the 90% marker by one percentage point. Despite the global pandemic in 2020, the achieved Maturity Level in the system improved and stabilized in comparison to 2019. Furthermore, the number of check failures throughout 2020 decreased significantly.

Top 5 Failing Checks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Failed Records</th>
<th># LEI Issuers</th>
<th>LEI Issuer with highest failure ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,949</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Strate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,558</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Qatar Credit Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kamer van Koophandel ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>EOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PRH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Data Quality criteria is expected to contain 12 dimensions. Currently a subset of 11 criteria is implemented, to the detriment of the score, as they are averaged on all of them. This is expected to change, on a nonfixed timeline, to include all 12 dimensions.