**Data Quality Scores**

**LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score**

The LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score is calculated as the equal weighted average of the 8 'Data Quality Criteria'.
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**LEI Issuer Data Quality (%) against LEI Pool Average (%)**

![Data Quality Comparison chart](comparison_chart.png)

**Data Quality in Covered Countries**
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**Top 5 Failing Checks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Failed Records</th>
<th>Check description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42,220</td>
<td>LEI has exactly one record for ultimate parent and ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>this LEI must not have any parent RR record and m ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>ValidationReference element MUST contain a URL...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>ValidationReference contains valid text content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>StartDate &lt; EndDate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score Trend**

Progress achieved with regard to the continuous optimization of the data quality based on the LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score.
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**Data Quality Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Quality Criteria</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th># Checks</th>
<th># Failed Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>99.73 %</td>
<td>99.82 %</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>229 (0.26 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensiveness</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>96.96 %</td>
<td>96.81 %</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42,287 (48.47 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniqueness</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>100.00 %</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validity</td>
<td>99.99 %</td>
<td>99.99 %</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 (&lt; 0.01 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quality Maturity Level**
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**Statistics**

**Totals**

- Managed LEIs: 87,236 (+1.87 %)
- Active entities managed: 86,137 (+1.86 %)
- Inactive entities managed: 1,099 (+3.28 %)
- Covered countries: 33 (+/-0 %)
- New lapsed LEIs*: 338 (+13.04 %)

**Level 2 Info**

- LEIs with LEI parent relationships: 720 (> 100 %)
- LEIs with complete parent information: 39,579 (+13.76 %)

**Duplicates**

- Total LEIs marked as duplicate **: 11 (+/-0 %)
- Duplicate percentage of managed LEIs: < 1 % (+1.84 %)

**Challenges**

- Challenges this month: 7 (+16.66 %)
- Duplicates found this month: 0 (+/-0 %)
- Updates to entity information this month: 0 (+/-0 %)

**Files**

- No. of days per month with CDF-compliant file uploads: 31 / 31 (+/-0 %)

* DISCLAIMER: All figures of this LEI Data Quality Report are derived from these sources: 1) Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF): Concatenated end-of-month files for all months mentioned in this report and 2) Data Quality Reports for all aforementioned concatenated files based on the LEI-Data-Quality-Check Specification v2.0. While every care has been taken in the compilation of this information, GLEIF will not be held responsible for any loss, damage or inconvenience caused as a result of inaccuracy or error within the LEI Data Quality Report. The text and graphic content of the LEI Data Quality Report may be used, printed and distributed ONLY with the copyright information displayed (© Copyright Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF)).

**Top 5 Failing Checks**

- C000245: 42,220 | LEI has exactly one record for ultimate parent and ...
- C000243: 229 | this LEI must not have any parent RR record and m ...
- C000250: 67 | ValidationReference element MUST contain a URL...
- C000249: 67 | ValidationReference contains valid text content.
- C000255: 2 | StartDate < EndDate.

The Data Quality criteria is expected to contain 12 dimensions. Currently a subset of 8 criteria is implemented, to the detriment of the score, as they are averaged on all of them. This is expected to change, on a nonfixed timeline, to include all 12 dimensions.