### Data Quality Scores

**LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score**

The LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score is calculated as the equal weighted average of the 11 ‘Data Quality Criteria’.

- **99%**

**LEI Issuer Data Quality (●) against LEI Pool Average (●)**

**Data Quality in Covered Countries**

- 100%
- <90%

### LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score Trend

Progress achieved with regard to the continuous optimization of the data quality based on the LEI Issuer Total Data Quality Score.

- 99.99%  (Jun)
- 99.99%  (Jul)
- 99.99%  (Aug)

### Data Quality Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th># Checks</th>
<th># Failed Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>99.98%</td>
<td>99.98%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (0.03%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensiveness</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrity</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representation</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniqueness</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validity</td>
<td>99.99%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 (0.07%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Data Quality criteria is expected to contain 12 dimensions. Currently a subset of 11 criteria is implemented, to the detriment of the score, as they are averaged on all of them. This is expected to change, on a nonfixed timeline, to include all 12 dimensions.

### Quality Maturity Level

- L1 Required quality
- L2 Expected quality
- L3 Excellent quality

### Statistics

#### Totals

- Managed LEIs: 2,561 (+1.58%)
- Active entities managed: 2,558 (+1.54%)
- Inactive entities managed: 3 (+50.00%)
- Covered countries: 16 (+0%)
- New lapsed LEIs*: 15 (-65.90%)

#### Level 2 Info

- LEIs with LEI parent relationships: 822 (+1.98%)
- LEIs with complete parent information: 2,366 (+1.67%)

#### Duplicates

- Total LEIs marked as duplicate**: 0 (+/-0%)
- Duplicate percentage of managed LEIs: 0 % (+/-0%)

#### Challenges

- Challenges this month: 1 (+/-0%)
- Duplicates found this month: 0 (+/-0%)
- Updates to entity information this month: 0 (+/-0%)

#### Files

- No. of days per month with CDF-compliant file uploads: 31 / 31 (+/-0%)

---

* Please see our Business Report [www.gleif.org/business-reports](http://www.gleif.org/business-reports) for detailed information around lapsed LEIs.

** RegistrationStatus = DUPLICATE