# **Global LEI Data Quality Report** | December 2020



## **Data Quality Scores**

#### **LEI Total Data Quality Score**

The LEI Total Data Quality Score is calculated as the equal weighted average of the 11 'Data Quality Criteria' listed below.



#### Summary

The assessment conducted on 31 December 2020 shows that the number of LEI Issuers achieving the required data quality slightly missed the 90% marker by one percentage point. Despite the global pandemic in 2020, the achieved Maturity Level in the system improved and stabilized in comparison to 2019. Furthermore, the number of check failures throughout 2020 decreased significantly.

#### **Data Quality Heat Map in Covered Countries**



### **Top 5 Failing Checks**

	# Failed Records	# LEI Issuers	Issuers LEI Issuer with highest failure ratio	
<u>C000291</u>	3,949	23	Strate	
<u>C000243</u>	1,558	22	Qatar Credit Bureau	
<u>C000249</u>	82	1	Kamer van Koophandel	
<u>C000276</u>	50	7	EQS	
<u>C000146</u>	49	4	PRH	

#### **LEI Total Data Quality Score Trend**

D-4- O.-- I'm - C.-it---i-

Progress achieved with regard to the continuous optimization of the data quality based on the LEI Total Data Quality Score.



_			
Total Data Quality Score	Highest LEI Issuer Score	V	Lowest LEI Issuer Score

Data Quality Criteria	Dec	Nov	# Checks	# Failed Records
Accessibility	100.00 %	100.00 %	1	0 (0 %)
Accuracy	100.00 %	100.00 %	8	0 (0 %)
Completeness	99.97 %	99.97 %	3	1,558 (0.08 %)
Comprehensiveness	100.00 %	100.00 %	2	0 (0 %)
Consistency	99.98 %	99.98 %	21	4,072 (0.22 %)
Currency	99.99 %	99.99 %	1	1 (< 0.01 %)
Integrity	99.99 %	100.00 %	18	1 (< 0.01 %)
Provenance	99.99 %	99.99 %	1	50 (< 0.01 %)
Representation	100.00 %	100.00 %	2	0 (0 %)
Uniqueness	99.99 %	99.99 %	2	2 (< 0.01 %)
Validity	99.99 %	99.99 %	15	55 (< 0.01 %)

The Data Quality criteria is expected to contain 12 dimensions. Currently a subset of 11 criteria is implemented, to the detriment of the score, as they are averaged on all of them. This is expected to change, on a nonfixed timeline, to include all 12 dimensions.

DISCLAIMER: All figures of this Global LEI Data Quality Report are derived from these sources: 1) Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF) Concatenated end-of-month files for all months mentioned in this report and 2) the Data Quality Reports for the reported month based on the LEI-Data-Quality-Check Specification v2.1.7. While every care has been taken in the compilation of this information, GLEIF will not be held responsible for any loss, damage or inconvenience caused as a result of inaccuracy or error within the Global LEI Data Quality Report. The text and graphic content of the Global LEI Data Quality Report may be used, printed and distributed ONLY with the copyright information displayed (© Copyright Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF)).

## **Quality Maturity Level**



#### **Statistics**

Totals	Values
Total LEI records	1,777,731 (+1.18 %
New issued LEIs	20,448 (-5.66 %
Renewed LEIs	140,295 (+21.35 %
New lapsed LEIs *	26,782 (+6.15 %
Countries	226 (+/-0 %
LEI Issuers	37 (+/-0 %
Level 2 Info	Values
LEIs with LEI parent relationships	132,096 (+1.48 %
LEIs with complete parent information	1,563,458 (+1.22 %
Duplicates	Value
Total LEIs marked as duplicate **	4,655 (+0.73 %
Duplicate percentage of total LEI records	< 1 % (-0.44 %
LEIs marked as duplicate this month	34 (+8.82%
Challenges	Value
Challenges this month	227 (-18.63 %
Duplicates found this month	28 (+33.33 %
Updates to entity information this month	105 (-42.62 %

<sup>\*</sup> Please see our Business Report <a href="https://www.gleif.org/business-report">www.gleif.org/business-report</a> for detailed information around lapsed LEIs.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RegistrationStatus = DUPLICATE