# **Global LEI Data Quality Report** | June 2020



# **Data Quality Scores**

### **LEI Total Data Quality Score**

The LEI Total Data Quality Score is calculated as the equal weighted average of the 11 'Data Quality Criteria' listed below.





#### Summary

The assessment conducted on 30 June 2020 shows that 33 out of 35 LEI Issuers continue achieving the required data quality. This measure stays stable above 90% for the past four reporting periods. Compared to the previous month, the count of LEI Issuers achieving maturity level 2 increased further, and 40% of the LEI issuing organizations are providing expected data quality for their full portfolio.

## **Data Quality Heat Map in Covered Countries**



## **Top 5 Failing Checks**

	# Failed Records	# LEI Issuers	LEI Issuer with highest failure ratio
<u>C000291</u>	7,357	21	Strate
<u>C000243</u>	2,149	20	Strate
<u>C000276</u>	223	6	EQS
<u>C000281</u>	145	3	Federal Statistical Of
<u>C000249</u>	99	1	Kamer van Koophandel

#### **LEI Total Data Quality Score Trend**

Progress achieved with regard to the continuous optimization of the data quality based on the LEI Total Data Quality Score.



■ Total Data Quality Score Highest LEI Issuer Score VLowest LEI Issuer Score

Data Quality Criteria	Jun	May	# Checks	# Failed Records
Accessibility	100.00 %	100.00 %	1	0 (0 %)
Accuracy	100.00 %	100.00 %	8	0 (0 %)
Completeness	99.95 %	99.95 %	3	2,177 (0.13 %)
Comprehensiveness	100.00 %	100.00 %	2	0 (0 %)
Consistency	99.97 %	99.97 %	21	7,603 (0.45 %)
Currency	99.99 %	99.99 %	1	74 (< 0.01 %)
Integrity	100.00 %	100.00 %	18	0 (0 %)
Provenance	99.98 %	99.98 %	1	223 (0.01 %)
Representation	100.00 %	100.00 %	2	0 (0 %)
Uniqueness	99.99 %	99.99 %	2	2 (< 0.01 %)
Validity	99.99 %	99.99 %	15	94 (< 0.01 %)

The Data Quality criteria is expected to contain 12 dimensions. Currently a subset of 11 criteria is implemented, to the detriment of the score, as they are averaged on all of them. This is expected to change, on a nonfixed timeline, to include all 12 dimensions.

DISCLAIMER: All figures of this Global LEI Data Quality Report are derived from these sources: 1) Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF) Concatenated end-of-month files for all months mentioned in this report and 2) the Data Quality Reports for the reported month based on the LEI-Data-Quality-Check Specification v2.1.7. Only LEI issuers with published LEIs are included in the Global LEI Data Quality Report. While every care has been taken in the compilation of this information, GLEIF will not be held responsible for any loss, damage or inconvenience caused as a result of inaccuracy or error within the Global LEI Data Quality Report. The text and graphic content of the Global LEI Data Quality Report may be used, printed and distributed ONLY with the copyright information displayed (© Copyright Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF)).

# **Quality Maturity Level**

Average maturity leve		
Jun 33/35 (94 %)	Jun 14/35 (40 %)	Jun 9/35 (25 %)
May 35/35 (100 %) Apr 32/34 (94 %)	May 10/35 (28 %) Apr 11/34 (32 %)	May 8/35 (22 %) Apr 7/34 (20 %)
LEI issuers achieving maturity level 1	LEI issuers achieving maturity level 2	LEI issuers achieving maturity level 3
L1 Required quality	L2 Expected quality	L3 Excellent quality

## **Statistics**

Totals	Values
Total LEI records	1,668,996 (+1.02 %)
New issued LEIs	16,798 (-3.36 %
Renewed LEIs	50,070 (-6.71 %
New lapsed LEIs *	13,752 (-6.74 %
Countries	225 (+/-0 %
LEI Issuers	35 (+/-0 %
Level 2 Info	Values
LEIs with LEI parent relationships	125,587 (+0.82 %
LEIs with complete parent information	1,461,023 (+1.12 %
Duplicates	Values
Total LEIs marked as duplicate **	4,485 (+0.53 %
Duplicate percentage of total LEI records	< 1 % (-0.47 %
LEIs marked as duplicate this month	44 (+33.33 %
Challenges	Values
Challenges this month	30 (+11.11 %
Duplicates found this month	15 (+36.36 %
Updates to entity information this month	105 (+6.06 %

<sup>\*</sup> Please see our Business Report <u>www.gleif.org/business-report</u> for detailed information around lapsed LEIs.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RegistrationStatus = DUPLICATE