Public consultation on a retail investment strategy for Europe

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

This consultation is now available in 23 European Union official languages.

Please use the language selector at the top of this page to choose your language for this consultation.

1. Background for this consultation

The level of retail investor participation in EU capital markets remains very low compared to other economies, despite high individual savings rates in Europe. This means that consumers may currently not fully benefit from the investment opportunities offered by capital markets.

In its September 2020 new capital markets union (CMU) action plan, the European Commission announced its intention to publish a strategy for retail investments in Europe in the first half of 2022. Its aim will be to seek to ensure that retail investors can take full advantage of capital markets and that rules are coherent across legal instruments. An individual investor should benefit from

- i. adequate protection
- ii. bias-free advice and fair treatment
- iii. open markets with a variety of competitive and cost-efficient financial services and products, and
- iv. transparent, comparable and understandable product information

EU legislation should be forward-looking and should reflect ongoing developments in digitalisation and sustainability, as well as the increasing need for retirement savings.

In 2020, the Commission also launched an <u>extensive study</u>, focusing on the different disclosure regimes, the extent to which advice given to prospective investors is useful and impartial and the impact of inducements paid to intermediaries. It will involve extensive consumer testing, to ensure that any future changes to the rules will be conceived from the perspective of what is useful and necessary for consumers.

In line with the Commission's stated objective of "an economy that works for people", the Commission is seeking to ensure that a legal framework for retail investments is suitably adapted to the profile and needs of consumers, helps ensure improved market outcomes and enhances their participation in the capital markets.

The Commission is looking to understand how the current framework for retail investments can be improved and is seeking your views on different aspects, including

- the limited comparability of similar investment products that are regulated by different legislation and are hence subject to different disclosure requirements, which prevents individual investors from making informed investment choices
- how to ensure access to fair advice in light of current inducement practices
- how to address the fact that many citizens lack sufficient financial literacy to make good decisions about personal finances
- the impact of increased digitalisation of financial services
- sustainable investing

Responding to this consultation and follow up

In this context and in line with <u>better regulation principles</u>, the Commission is launching this public consultation designed to gather stakeholders' views on possible improvements to the European framework for retail investments.

Views are welcome from all stakeholders, in particular from persons/entities representing

- citizens and households (in their quality as retail investors)
- organisations representing consumer/retail investor interests
- complaint-handling bodies e.g. Alternative Dispute Resolution Bodies and European Consumer Centres
- credit institutions
- investment firms
- insurance companies
- financial intermediaries (investment/insurance brokers, online brokers, etc.)
- national and supranational authorities (e.g. national governments and EU public authorities, mandated authorities and bodies in charge of legislation in the field of retail investments)
- academics and policy think-tanks.
- entities seeking financing on capital markets

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact <u>fisma-retail-investment@ec.europa.eu</u>.

More information on

this consultation

• the consultation document

*Language of my contribution

- retail financial services
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

About you

Bulga	ırian
Croat	ian
Czecł	h
Danis	sh
Dutch	1
Englis	sh
Eston	ian
Finnis	sh
Frenc	ch control of the con
Germ	an
Greek	<
Hung	arian
Irish	
Italiar	1
Latvia	an
Lithua	anian
Malte	se
Polish	า
Portu	guese
Roma	anian
Slova	.k
Slove	nian
Spani	ish
Swed	lish
*I am giving	my contribution as

³

Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
* -
* First name
*Surname
*Email (this won't be published)
*Email (this won't be published)
*Email (this won't be published)
*Email (this won't be published) *Scope
*Scope
*Scope International
*Scope International Local
*Scope International Local National
*Scope International Local National Regional
*Scope International Local National Regional *Level of governance
*Scope International Local National Regional *Level of governance Local Authority
*Scope International Local National Regional *Level of governance Local Authority Local Agency
*Scope International Local National Regional *Level of governance Local Authority Local Agency *Level of governance

Organisation name 255 character(s) maximum			
Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 en	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	249 employees)		
Large (250 or m	nore)		
Transparency registe	er number		
255 character(s) maximum			
Check if your organisation is influence EU decision-making		er. It's a voluntary database fo	r organisations seeking to
illidence Lo decision-maxii	ıy.		
Country of origin Please add your country of a	origin or that of your organic	action	
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Aland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre
			and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Samoa			
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
			Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
	Guinea		
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Parbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda	© Ethionic	O Molto	O Ciorro I cons
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone

Armenia	Falkland Islands	MarshallIslands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon
			Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French	Micronesia	South Africa
	Polynesia		
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and		and the South
	Antarctic Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba	0 0	O Name I	O O wie
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory	Guillea-Dissau	ivicalagua	mananu
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Dillion Virgin	S-014 # 141 114	1 4154571	iiio Gaillbia

	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
©	Bulgaria	©	Heard Island and McDonald	0	Niue	0	Togo
			Islands				
0	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi	0	Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
	Cambodia		Hungary		North Korea		Trinidad and
							Tobago
	Cameroon		Iceland		North		Tunisia
					Macedonia		
	Canada		India		Norway		Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
							Caicos Islands
	Central African		Iraq		Palau		Tuvalu
	Republic						
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine		Uganda
	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
	China		Israel		Papua New		United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas		Italy		Paraguay		United
	Island						Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines		United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
	Colombia		Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
	Comoros		Jordan		Poland		US Virgin
							Islands
	Congo		Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands		Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
	Costa Rica		Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
	Côte d'Ivoire		Kosovo		Réunion		Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam

0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos	0	Rwanda		Western
						Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint		Yemen
				Barthélemy		
0	Czechia	Lebanon	0	Saint Helena		Zambia
				Ascension and		
				Tristan da		
				Cunha		
(C)	Democratic	Lesotho	0	Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis		
	Congo					
	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		
* Field	I of activity or sector	or (if applicable)				
	Accounting	- (
	Auditing					
	Banking					
	Credit rating ager	ncies				
	Insurance	10.00				
	Pension provision	1				
	·	· gement (e.g. hedge f	fun	ds private equity	fun	ids venture
		ney market funds, se			IGI	ido, vontaro
	•	ture operation (e.g. C		•	xcł	nanges)
	Social entreprene		, O.	o, 0020, 0100K 0	ΛΟ.	iai igoo)
	Other	, a. cp				
	Not applicable					
	. τοι αρρποαοίο					
* Plea	se specify your ac	tivity field(s) or secto	r(s)		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.

Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself.

Public

Your name, the type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your country of origin and your contribution will be published.

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

1. General questions

Current EU rules regarding retail investors (e.g. <u>UCITS</u> (undertakings for the collective investment in transferable <u>securities</u>), <u>PRIIPs</u> (packaged retail investment and insurance products), <u>MiFID II</u> (Markets in Financial Instruments Directive), IDD (Insurance Distribution Directive), PEPP (pan european pension product), or Solvency II (Directive on

the taking-up and pursuit of the business of insurance and reinsurance)) aim at empowering investors, in particular by creating transparency of the key features of investment and insurance products but also at protecting them, for example through safeguards against mis-selling.

Question 1.1 Does the EU retail investor protection framework sufficiently empower and protect retail investors when they invest in capital markets?
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 1.1 and provide examples:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
While aimed at protecting retail investors, some rules may require specific procedures to be followed (e.g. the need to use investment advice and complete a suitability assessment) or may limit investment by retail investors (e.g. by warning against purchase of certain investment products or even completely prohibiting access).
Question 1.2 Are the existing limitations justified, or might they unduly hinder retail investor participation in capital markets?
Yes, they are justified
No, they unduly hinder retail investor participation
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 1.2:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 1.3 Are there any retail investment products that retail investors are prevented from buying in the EU due to constraints linked to existing existing EU regulation?

Yes

PΙε	ease explain your answer to question 1.3:
	000 character(s) maximum sluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

[⊚] No

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Question 1.4 What do you consider to be factors which might discourage or prevent retail investors from investing?

	1 (strongly disagree)	2 (rather disagree)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather agree)	5 (strongly agree)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Lack of understanding by retail investors of products?	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lack of understanding of products by advisers?	•	•	•	•	•	•
Lack of trust in products?	0	0	0	0	0	0
High entry or management costs?	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of access to reliable, independent advice?	•	•	•	•	•	•

Lack of access to redress?	©	0	0	•	•	0
Concerns about the risks of investing?	©	•	•	•	•	•
Uncertainties about expected returns?	©	0	•	•	•	•
Lack of available information about products in other EU Member States?	©	©	•	•	•	•
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

investors from investing: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Please specify what other factor(s) might discourage or prevent retail

Question 1.5 Do you consider that products available to retail investors in the EU are:

	1 (strongly disagree)	2 (rather disagree)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather agree)	5 (strongly agree)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Sufficiently accessible	•	•	0	0	•	•
Understandable for retail investors	•	•	•	•	•	•
Easy for retail investors to compare with other products	•	•	•	•	•	•
Offered at competitively priced conditions	•	•	•	•	•	•
Offered alongside a sufficient range of competitive products	©	•	•	•	©	•

Adapted to modern (e.g. digital) channels	•	•	•	•	•	•
Adapted to Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) criteria	•	•	•	•	•	•

Question 1.6 Among the areas of retail investment policy covered by this consultation, in which area (or areas) would the main scope for improvement lie in order to increase the protection of investors?

Please select as many answers as you like
financial literacy
digital innovation
disclosure requirements
suitability and appropriateness assessment
reviewing the framework for investor categorisation
inducements and quality of advice
addressing the complexity of products
redress
product intervention powers
sustainable investing
other
Please specify to what other area(s) you refer in your answer to question 1.6: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 1.6:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
2. Financial literacy

For many individuals, financial products and services remain complex. To empower individuals to adequately manage their finances as well as invest, it is of crucial importance that they are able to understand the risks and rewards

surrounding retail investing, as well as the different options available. However, as shown by the <u>OECD/INFE 2020</u> international survey of adult financial literacy, many adults have major gaps in understanding basic financial concepts.

While the main responsibility for financial education lies with the Member States, there is scope for Commission initiatives to support and complement their actions. In line with the <u>2020 capital markets union action plan</u>, Directorate General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union (DG FISMA) published a <u>feasibility assessment report</u> and will, together with the OECD, develop a financial competence framework in the EU. In addition, the need for a legislative proposal to require Member States to promote learning measures that support the financial education of individuals, in particular in relation to investing will be assessed.

Question 2.1 Please indicate whether you agree with the following statement: Increased financial literacy will help retail investors to

	1 (strongly disagree)	2 (rather disagree)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather agree)	5 (strongly agree)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Improve their understanding of the nature and main features of financial products	•	•	•	•	•	•
Create realistic expectations about the risk and performance of financial products	•	•	•	•	•	•
Increase their participation in financial markets	•	•	•	•	•	•

Find objective investment information	0	•	•	•	0	•
Better understand disclosure documents	•	•	•	•	•	•
Better understand professional advice	•	•	•	•	•	•
Make investment decisions that are in line with their investment needs and objectives	•	•	•	•	•	•
Follow a long- term investment strategy	0	•	•	0	0	0

Question 2.2 Which further measures aimed at increasing financial literacy (e. g. in order to promote the OECD/Commission financial literacy competence framework) might be pursued at EU level?

Please explain your answer, taking into account that the main responsibility for financial education lies with Member States:

-	cter than the r	cter than the MS Word chara	icter than the MS Word characters counting me

3. Digital innovation

Digitalisation and technological innovation and the increasing popularity of investment apps and web-based platforms are having profound impacts on the way people invest, creating new opportunities (e.g. in terms of easier access to investment products and capital markets, easier comparability, lower costs, etc.). However technological change can also carry risks for consumers (e.g. easier access to potentially riskier products). These changes may pose challenges to existing retail investors, while investor protection rules may no longer be fit for purpose.

Open finance, (i.e. giving greater access to customer data held by financial institutions to third party service providers to enable them to offer more personalised services) can, in the field of investment services, lead to better financial products, better targeted advice and improved access for consumers and greater efficiency in business-to-business transactions. In the <u>September 2020 digital finance strategy</u>, the Commission announced its intention to propose legislation on a broader open finance framework.

Question 3.1 What might be the benefits or potential risks of an open finance approach (i.e. similar to that developed in the field of payment services which allowed greater access by third party providers to customer payment account information) in the field of retail investments (e.g. enabling more competition, tailored advice, data privacy, etc.)?

Please explain your answer

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

									_				ough (-
s e	c t	. 0	r ?	•										
Please	e exp	lain y	our a	nswe	r									
5000 c		r(s) max		/o i o o	triatar th	on the l	\1C \\\0	rd obor	ootoro	oountin	a motho	d		
Including	g space	s and iii	le brear	(S, I.E. S)	incler in	an the i	VIS VVO	iu chan	aciers	Counting	g memo	ou.		
Dy mokin	na the c	ontents	of nubl	icly avai	ilable do	ocument	ation m	nachine	-roadal	nla tha	data w	ithin the	em can b	م وعدناي

By making the contents of publicly available documentation machine-readable, the data within them can be easily extracted and used for various purposes, such as aggregation, comparison, or analysis. In the field of retail investment, examples would include portfolio management apps, robo advisors, comparison websites, pension dashboards, etc. DG FISMA has already started work in this area in the context of the European Single Access Point. Machine-readability is also required by newly proposed legislation, such as the Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCA), whilst legacy legal framework will need adaptation.

In the field of retail investment, applicable EU legislation does not currently require documents to be machine-readable. However, some private initiatives are already demonstrating that there is interest from market actors in more standardisation and machine-readability of the data provided within existing retail investment information documents, such as the PRIIPs KID or MiFID disclosures. Requiring machine readability of disclosure documents from scratch could help to open business opportunities for third parties, for example by catering to the needs of advisers and retail investors who prefer direct access to execution only venues.

Question 3.3 Should the information available in various pre-contractual disclosure documents be machine-readable?



Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.3:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Rules on marketing and advertising of investment products remain predominantly a national competence, bound up in civil and national consumer protection law, although the 2019 legislative package on cross-border distribution of
investment funds does remove some cross-border national barriers.
that having different rules on marketing and advertising of investment products constitutes an obstacle for retail investors to access investment products in other EU markets?
© No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.4:
1 ,
5000 character(s) maximum
5000 character(s) maximum

Under MiFID product governance rules, which also regulate marketing communication, firms are prevented from presenting products in ways which might mislead clients (e.g. the information should not disguise, diminish or obscure important items, the information should give a fair and prominent indication of any relevant risks when referencing any potential benefits of a financial instrument, all costs and charges should be disclosed, the nature of the product must be explained, etc.).

Question 3.5 Might there be a need for stricter enforcement of rules on online advertising to protect against possible mis-selling of retail investment products?

Yes

No No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.5:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 3.6 Would you see a need for further EU coordination /harmonisation of national rules on online advertising and marketing of investment products?
[©] Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.6, including which rules would
require particular attention:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
In February 2021, in the context of speculative trading of GameStop shares, <u>ESMA issued a statement</u> urging retail investors to be careful when taking investment decisions based exclusively on information from social media and other

unregulated online platforms, if they cannot verify the reliability and quality of that information.

Question 3.7 How important is the role played by social media platforms in influencing retail investment behaviour (e.g. in facilitating communication between retail investors, but also increasing herding behaviour among investors or for large financial players to collect data on interest in certain stocks or financial products)?

- Not at all important
- Rather not important
- Neutral

Somewhat important
Very important
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.7:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 3.8 Social media platforms may be used as a vehicle by some users to help disseminate investment related information and may also pose risks for retail investment, e.g. if retail investors rely on unverified information or on information not appropriate to their individual situation. How high do you
consider this risk?
Not at all significant
Not so significant
Neutral
Somewhat significant
Very significant
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
MiFID II regulates the provision of investment advice and marketing communication suggesting, explicitly or implicitly, an investment strategy. Information about investment opportunities are increasingly circulating via social media, which can prompt people to decide to invest on the basis of information that is unverified, may be incorrect or unsuited to the individual customer situation. This information may be circulated by individuals without proper qualification or authorisation to do so. The Market Abuse Regulation (MAR) also contains provisions which forbid the dissemination of false information and forbid collaboration between persons (e.g. brokers recommending a trading strategy) to commit market abuse.
Question 3.9 Do the rules need to be reinforced at EU level with respect to
dissemination of investment related information via social media platforms?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.9:

5000 character(s) maximum

On-line investment brokers, platforms or apps, which offer execution only services to retail investors, are subject to the relevant investor protection rules for such services under the MiFID framework. While such on-line investment platforms may offer advantages for retail investors, including a low level of fees and the ease of access to a large variety of investment products, such platforms may also present risks, e.g. in case of inadequacy of appropriateness checks, lack of understanding of individual investors lack or inadequate disclosure of costs.
Question 3.10 Do you consider that retail investors are adequately protected
when purchasing retail investments on-line, or do the current EU rules need to be updated?
Yes, consumers are adequately protected
No, the rules need to be updated
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 3.10:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison
Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison websites, apps, online brokers, etc.) how important is it that lower risk or not
Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison websites, apps, online brokers, etc.) how important is it that lower risk or not
Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison websites, apps, online brokers, etc.) how important is it that lower risk or not overly complex products appear first on listings?
Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison websites, apps, online brokers, etc.) how important is it that lower risk or not overly complex products appear first on listings? Not at all important
Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison websites, apps, online brokers, etc.) how important is it that lower risk or not overly complex products appear first on listings? Not at all important Rather not important
Question 3.11 When products are offered online (e.g. on comparison websites, apps, online brokers, etc.) how important is it that lower risk or not overly complex products appear first on listings? Not at all important Rather not important Neutral

Please explain your answer to question 3.11:

5000 character(s) maximum

cluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	

4. Disclosure requirements

Rules on pre-contractual and on-going disclosure requirements are set out for different products in MiFID II, the Insuran ce Distribution Directive, AIFMD (Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive), UCITS, PEPP and the Solvency II framework, as well as in horizontal EU legislation (e.g. PRIIPs or the Distance Marketing Directive) and national legislation. The rules can differ from one instrument to another, which may render comparison of different products more difficult.

Question 4.1 Do you consider that pre-contractual disclosure documentation for retail investments, in cases where no Key Information Document is provided, enables adequate understanding of:

	1 (strongly disagree)	2 (rather disagree)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather agree)	5 (strongly agree)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
The nature and functioning of the product	•	©	•	•	•	•
The costs associated with the product	•	•	•	•	•	•
The expected returns under different market conditions	©	©	•	•	•	•

The risks associated with the product	0	•	•	•	•
---------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Please explain your answer to question 4.1:

Question 4.2 Please assess the different elements for each of the following pieces of legislation:

Question 4.2.1 PRIIPs Key Information Document

Question 4.2.1 a) PRIIPS: Is the pre-contractual information provided to retail investors for each of the elements below **sufficiently understandable** and reliable so as to help them take retail investment decisions? Please assess the **level of understandability**:

	1 (very low)	2 (rather low)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather high)	5 (very high)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
PRIIPs Key Information Document (as a whole)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Information about the type, objectives and functioning of the product	•	•	•	•	•	•

Information on the risk- profile of the product, and the summary risk indicator	©	©	©	©	•	•
Information about product performance	•	•	•	•	0	0
Information on cost and charges	•	•	•	•	•	•
Information on sustainability-aspects of the product	•	•	•	•	•	•

Question 4.2.1 b) PRIIPS: Is the pre-contractual information provided to retail investors for each of the elements below **sufficiently reliable** so as to help them take retail investment decisions? Please assess the **level of reliability**:

	1 (very low)	2 (rather low)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather high)	5 (very high)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
PRIIPs Key Information Document (as a whole)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Information about the type, objectives and functioning of the product	•	•	•	•	•	•
Information on the risk- profile of the product, and the summary risk indicator	©	•	©	©	•	•

Information about product performance	•	•	©	•	•	•
Information on cost and charges	•	•	•	•	•	•
Information on sustainability-aspects of the product	•	•	•	•	•	•

Question 4.2.1 c) PRIIPS: Is the amount of information provided for each of the elements below insufficient, adequate, or excessive?

	1 (insufficient)	2 (adequate)	3 (excessive)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
PRIIPs Key Information Document (as a whole)	0	•	0	•
Information about the type, objectives and functioning of the product	0	•	0	•
Information on the risk-profile of the product, and the summary risk indicator	0	•	0	•
Information about product performance	0	0	0	0
Information on cost and charges	0	0	0	0
Information on sustainability-aspects of the product	0	0	0	0

Please explain your answer to question 4.2.1:

		4				
500	5000 character(s) maximum					
inclu	including spaces and line breaks, i.e. s	tricter than the M	IS Word characte	rs counting metho	d.	

Question 4.2.2 Insurance Product Information Document

Question 4.2.2 a) IDD: Is the pre-contractual information provided to retail investors for each of the elements below **sufficiently understandable** and reliable so as to help them take retail investment decisions? Please assess the **level of understandability**:

	1 (very low)	2 (rather low)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather high)	5 (very high)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Insurance Product Information Document (as a whole)	©	©	•	•	©	©
Information about the insurance distributor and its services	©	©	•	•	©	©
Information on the insurance product (conditions, coverage etc.)	•	©	•	•	©	©
Information on cost and charges	0	0	0	0	0	0

Question 4.2.2 b) IDD: Is the pre-contractual information provided to retail investors for each of the elements below **sufficiently reliable** so as to help them take retail investment decisions? Please assess the **level of reliability**:

	1 (very low)	2 (rather low)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather high)	5 (very high)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Insurance Product Information Document (as a whole)	•	•	•	•	•	•

Information about the insurance distributor and its services	©	©	•	•	•	•
Information on the insurance product (conditions, coverage etc.)	©	©	•	•	•	•
Information on cost and charges	0	0	0	0	0	0

Question 4.2.2 c) IDD: Is the amount of information provided for each of the elements below insufficient, adequate, or excessive?

	1 (insufficient)	2 (adequate)	3 (excessive)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Insurance Product Information Document (as a whole)	©	•	•	•
Information about the insurance distributor and its services	•	©	©	•
Information on the insurance product (conditions, coverage etc.)	©	©	©	•
Information on cost and charges	0	0	0	0

Please explain your answer to question 4.2.2:

Question 4.2.3 PEPP Key Information Document

Question 4.2.3 a) PEPP: Is the pre-contractual information provided to retail investors for each of the elements below **sufficiently understandable** and reliable so as to help them take retail investment decisions? Please assess the **level of understandability**:

	1 (very low)	2 (rather low)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather high)	5 (very high)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
PEPP Key Information Document (as a whole)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Information about the PEPP provider and its services	•	•	•	©	•	•
Information about the safeguarding of investments	•	•	©	©	•	•
Information on cost and charges	0	0	0	0	0	0
Information on the pay- out phase	0	0	0	0	0	0

Question 4.2.3 b) PEPP: Is the pre-contractual information provided to retail investors for each of the elements below **sufficiently reliable** so as to help them take retail investment decisions? Please assess the **level of reliability**:

	1 (very low)	2 (rather low)	3 (neutral)	4 (rather high)	5 (very high)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
PEPP Key Information Document (as a whole)	0	0	0	0	•	•
Information about the PEPP provider and its services	©	•	©	©	•	•
Information about the safeguarding of investments	©	•	©	©	•	•
Information on cost and charges	0	0	0	0	0	0
Information on the pay-out phase	0	0	0	0	0	•

Question 4.2.3 c) PEPP: Is the amount of information provided for each of the elements below insufficient, adequate, or excessive?

	1 (insufficient)	2 (adequate)	3 (excessive)	Don't l No opi No applic
PEPP Key Information Document (as a whole)		•	•	•

Information about the PEPP provider and its services	©	©	©	•
Information about the safeguarding of investments	©	©	•	•
Information on cost and charges	•	©	•	•
Information on the pay-out phase	0	©	0	(

Please explain your answer to question 4.2.3:

50	000 character(s) maximum					
inclu	cluding spaces and line brea	aks, i.e. stricter tha	n the MS Word cha	aracters counting m	nethod.	

Question 4.3 Do you consider that the language used in pre-contractual documentation made available to retail investors is at an acceptable level of understandability, in particular in terms of avoiding the use of jargon and sector specific terminology?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 4.3:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 4.4 At what stage of the retail investor decision making process should the Key Information Document (PRIIPs KID, PEPP KID, Insurance Product Information Document) be provided to the retail investor? Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
enable a clear comparison between different investment products? O Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 4.5:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Overtice 4.0 Obsuld are contractual decomposition for retail investments
Question 4.6 Should pre-contractual documentation for retail investments
enable as far as possible a clear comparison between different investment
products, including those offered by different financial entities (for example,
with one product originating from the insurance sector and another from the

Yes

[◎] No

41

redundancies, or gaps in the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way product cost information is calculated and presented? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Guestion 4.7 a) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies, redundancies, or gaps in the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way product cost information is calculated and presented? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	Please explain your answer to question 4.6:
Question 4.7 a) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies, redundancies, or gaps in the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way product cost information is calculated and presented? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	·
redundancies, or gaps in the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way product cost information is calculated and presented? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	
PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way product cost information is calculated and presented? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	
Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	redundancies, or gaps in the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way product cost information is calculated
 No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies, 	
Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	
Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	
documents are concerned: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	Please explain your answer to question 4.7 a), and indicate which information
Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	documents are concerned:
Question 4.7 b) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies,	5000 character(s) maximum
	including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way risk information is calculated and presented? Organism Tree EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MIFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way risk information is calculated and presented?	redundancies, or gaps in the the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way risk information is calculated and presented?

Please explain your answer to question 4.7 b), and indicate which information documents are concerned:

5000 character(s) maximum

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 4.7 c) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies, redundancies, or gaps in the the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to the way performance information is calculated and presented?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 4.7 c), and indicate which information
documents are concerned:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 4.7 d) Are you aware of any overlaps, inconsistencies, redundancies, or gaps in the the EU disclosure rules (e.g. PRIIPS, MiFID, IDD, PEPP, etc.) with respect to other elements? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 4.7 d), specifying what those

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

5000 character(s) maximum

elements are and indicating which information documents are concerned:

Question 4.8 How important are the following types of product information when considering retail investment products?

	1 (not relevant)	(relevant, but not crucial)	3 (essential)	Don't k No opi No applic
Product objectives /main product features	•	©	•	6
Costs	0	0	0	0
Past performance	©	©	0	C
Guaranteed returns	©	©	•	6
Capital protection	©	©	•	6
Forward- looking performance expectation	©	©	•	6
Risk	0	0	0	0
Ease with which the product can be converted into cash		•	•	6
Other	0	0	0	0

Please specify to what other type(s) of product infor	mation you refer in your
answer to question 4.8:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters cou	unting method.
Please explain your answer to question 4.8:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters cou	unting method.
costs in relation to financial products as well as investment and ancillary services (i.e. before any transaction is concluded and on an annual basis, in certain cases) Question 4.9 Do you consider that the current regime).
ensure costs and cost impact transparency	for retail investors?
In particular, would an annual ex post information retail investors in all cases? Yes	on costs be useful for
O No	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Please explain your answer to question 4.9:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters cou	unting method.

Studies show that due to the complexity of products and the amount of the aggregate pre-contractual information provided to retail investors, there is a risk that investors are not able to absorb all the necessary information due to information overload. This can lead to suboptimal investment decisions.

				_	f the PRIIPs Key sure document, in words?
Please exp	er(s) maximu	ım	er than the MS Word char	racters counting me	ethod.
complex s	tructure	es, such a	s derivatives an	d structure	roducts with more
-	-	-	· -		litional information narratives, etc.?
Please exp	er(s) maximu	ım	er than the MS Word char	racters counting me	ethod
molading opace				actors counting in	3.1.00.

Question 4.12 Should distributors of retail financial products be required to make pre-contractual disclosure documents available:

On paper by default?
In electronic format by default, but on paper upon request?
In electronic format only?
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 4.12:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 4.13 How important is it that information documents be translated
into the official language of the place of distribution?
Not at all important
Rather not important
© Neutral
Somewhat important
Very important
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 4.13:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 4.14 How can access, readability and intelligibility of pre-
contractual retail disclosure documents be improved in order to better help
retail investors make investment decisions?
Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 4.15 When information is disclosed via digital means, how important is it that:

	1 (not at all important)	2 (rather not important)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat important)	5 (very important)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
There are clear rules to prescribe presentation formats (e.g. readable font size, use of designs /colours, etc.)?	•	•	•	•	•	•
Certain key information (e. g. fees, charges, payment of inducements, information relative to performance, etc.) is displayed in ways which highlight the prominence?				©	•	©

Format of the information is adapted to use on different kinds of device (for example through use of layering)?	©	•	•	•	•	•
Appropriately labeled and relevant hyperlinks are used to provide access to supplementary information?	©	•	•	•	•	•
Use of hyperlinks is limited (e.g. one click only – no cascade of links)?	©	•	©	©	©	©
Contracts cannot be concluded until the consumer has	•	•	•	•	•	•

scrolled to the end of the document?						
Other?	0	0	0	0	0	0

question 4.15:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 4.15:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
5. The PRIIPs Regulation
In accordance with the <u>PRIIPs Regulation</u> , and as part of the retail investment strategy, the Commission is seeking views on the PRIIPs Regulation. In February 2021, <u>the ESAs agreed on a draft amending Regulatory Technical Standard</u> aimed at improving the delegated (level 2) regulation. The Commission is now assessing the PRIIPS Regulation level 1 rules, in line with the review clause contained in the Regulation.
Core objectives of the PRIIPs Regulation
Question 5.1 Has the PRIIPs Regulation met the following core objectives:
a) Improving the level of understanding that retail investors have of retail
investment products:
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to guestion 5.1.a):
Please explain your answer to question 5.1 a):
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Please specify to what other important element you refer in your answer to

b) Improving the ability of retail investors to compare different retainvestment products, both within and among different product types:
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 5.1 b):
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
c) Reducing the frequency of mis-selling of retail investment products ar
the number of complaints:
© Yes
© No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 5.1 c):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
d) Enabling retail investors to correctly identify and choose the investment
products that are suitable for them, based on their individual sustainabili
preferences, financial situation, investment objectives and needs and ris
tolerance:
Yes
No

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 5.1 d):

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 5.2 Are retail investors easily able to find and access PRIIPs KIDs
and PEPP KIDs?
© Yes
[™] No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 5.2:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 5.2.1 What could be done to improve the access to PRIIPs KIDs and PEPP KIDs?

	Yes	No	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Requiring PRIIPs KIDs and PEPP KIDs to be uploaded onto a searchable EU-wide database	•	•	•
Requiring PRIIPs KIDs and PEPP KIDs to be uploaded onto a searchable national database	0	0	•
Requiring PRIIPs KIDs and PEPP KIDs to be made available in a dedicated section on manufacturer and distributor websites	©	©	•
Other	0	0	0

Please specify to what other improvement(s) you refer in your answer t	0
question 5.2.1:	
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
Please explain your answer to question 5.2.1:	
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the We word characters counting method.	
The PRIIPs KID	
Question 5.3 Should the PRIIPs KID be simplified, and if so, how (while stifulfilling its purpose of providing uniform rules on the content of a KID which shall be accurate, fair, clear, and not misleading)?	
Yes	
No	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Please explain your answer to question 5.3:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	

Implementation and supervision of the PRIIPs Regulation

actual implementation of the PRIIPs Regulation across PRIIPs manufacturers,
distributors, and across Member States? O Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 5.4:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
5.5 In your experience, is the supervision of PRIIPs KIDs consistent across Member States?
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 5.5: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question.5.6 What is in your experience as a product manufacturer, the cost of manufacturing:
5.6 a) A single PRIIPs KID (cost in € per individual product) €
Please explain your answer to question 5.6 a): 5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

5.6 b) A single	PEPP KID (co	ost in € per individual product)]€
5000 character(s)	maximum	to question 5.6 b): icter than the MS Word characters counting method.
5.6 c) A sing		Product Information Document (cost in € pe
		€
5000 character(s)	maximum	to question 5.6 c): icter than the MS Word characters counting method.
		e as a product manufacturer the cost of updating:
o.7 a) A single	PRIIPS KID (0	cost in € per individual product)
-	_	to question 5.7 a):
5000 character(s) including spaces an		icter than the MS Word characters counting method.

	€
Please explain your answer	to question 5.7 b):
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. sti	ricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	Product Information Document (cost in € per
individual product)	
	€
Please explain your answer	to auestion 5.7 c):
5000 character(s) maximum	4
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. str	ricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 5.8 Which factor	s of preparing, maintaining, and distributing the
KID are the most costly?	
Please select as many answers as you like	
Collecting product data/	inputs
Performing the necessa	
Updating IT systems	
Quality and content che	ck
Outsourcing costs	
Other	
Othor	

Please specify to what other factor(s) you refer in your answer to question

5000 character(s) maximum

5.8:

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 5.8:
·
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
g ep account of the control of the c
Multiple-Option Products
For PRIIPs offering the retail investor a range of options for investments (Multiple Option Products) the PRIIPs
Regulation currently provides the manufacturer with two different approaches for how to structure the KID:
 A separate KID can be prepared for each investment option (Article 10(a))
 A generic KID covering in general terms the types of investment options offered and separate information or
each underlying investment option (Article 10(b))
According to feedback, both of these options present drawbacks, including challenges for retail investors to compare multiple option products with each other, in particular regarding costs.
An alternative approach would therefore be to require the provision of only one information document for the whole Multiple-Option Product, depending on the underlying investment options that the retail investors would prefer.
waitiple Option i Todact, depending on the underlying investment options that the retail investors would prefer.
Question 5.9 Should distributors and/or manufacturers of Multiple Option
Products be required to provide retail investors with a single, tailor-made,
•
KID, reflecting the preferred underlying portfolio of each investor
What should happen in the case of ex-post switching of the underlying
investment options?
Yes
© No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 5.9:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Scope
The scope of the PRIIPs Regulation currently excludes certain pension products, despite qualifying under the definition of packaged retail investment products. These include pension products which, under national law, are recognised as having the primary purpose of providing the investor with an income in retirement and which entitle the investor to certain benefits. These also include individual pension products for which a financial contribution from the employer is required by national law and where the employer or the employee has no choice as to the pension product or provider.
Question 5.10 Should the scope of the PRIIPs Regulation include the following products?
a) Pension products which, under national law, are recognised as having the primary purpose of providing the investor with an income in retirement and which entitle the investor to certain benefits: Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain why the scope of the PRIIPs Regulation should include these
pension products:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 5.10 a): 5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

b) Individual pension products for which a financial contribution from the
employer is required by national law and where the employer or the
employee has no choice as to the pension product or provider:
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain why the scope of the PRIIPs Regulation should include these
individual pension products:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 5.10 b):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
The ability to access past versions of PRIIPS KIDs from a manufacturer is useful in showing how its product portfolio
has evolved (e.g. evolution of risk indicators, costs, investment strategies, performance scenarios, etc.) that cannot be understood from simply looking at the latest versions of PRIIPS disclosure documents of currently marketed products.
Question 5.11 Should retail investors be granted access to past versions of
PRIIPs KIDs?
Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Dent know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 5.11:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 5.12 The PRIIPs KIDs should be reviewed at least every 12 months and if the review concludes that there is a significant change, also updated.
Question 5.12.1 Should the review and update occur more regularly?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Question 5.12.2 Should this depend on the characteristics of the PRIIPs?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Question 5.12.3 What should trigger the update of PRIIP KIDs? 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 5.12:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the We Word characters counting method.
6. Suitability and appropriateness assessment
or carractify and appropriate 1000 according 11

Under current EU rules, an investment firm providing advice or portfolio management to a retail investor must collect information about the client and make an assessment that a given investment product is suitable for them before it can recommend a product to a client or invest in it on the client's behalf. Similar rules exist for the sale of insurance-based

investment products and of Pan-European Pension Products. The objective of these rules is to protect retail investors and ensure that they are not advised to buy products that may not be suitable for them. The suitability assessment process may however sometimes be perceived as lengthy and ineffective.

Question 6.1 To what extent do you agree that the suitability assessment
conducted by an investment firm or by a seller of insurance-based
investment products serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring
that they are not offered unsuitable products?
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neutral
Agree
Strongly agree
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.1:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 6.2 Can you identify any problems with the suitability assessment?
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.2. Please explain how these
problems might they be addressed:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

the increasing use of online platforms or brokers when they are providing
the increasing use of online platforms or brokers when they are providing advice?
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.3:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Where investment firms do not provide advice or portfolio management, they are still required to request information on the knowledge and experience of clients to assess whether the investment service or product is appropriate, and to issue a warning in case it is deemed inappropriate. Similar rules apply to sales of insurance-based investment products where in specific cases the customer has made use of a right provided under national law to opt out of a full suitability assessment.
Question 6.4 To what extent do you agree that the appropriateness test
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile?
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 6.4:
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 6.4: 5000 character(s) maximum
serves retail investor needs and is effective in ensuring that they do not purchase products they are not able to understand or that are too risky for their client profile? Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer to question 6.4: 5000 character(s) maximum

Question 6.5 Can you identify any problems with the test and if so, how might
they be addressed (e.g. is the appropriateness test adequate in view of the
risk of investors purchasing products that may not be appropriate for them)? Orange Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.5:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 6.6 Are the rules on appropriateness tests sufficiently adapted to the increasing use of online platforms or brokers?
Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.6:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 6.7 Do you consider that providing a warning about the fact that a
product is inappropriate is sufficient protection for retail investors?
Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.7:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

In case of the execution of orders or transmission and reception of orders of certain non-complex products, initiative of the client, no appropriateness test is required. The investment firm must only inform the client the appropriateness of the service or product has not been assessed and that he/she does not benefit from the protof the relevant rules on conduct of business.	it the
Question 6.8 Do you agree that no appropriateness test should be require	d ir
such situations?	
Yes	
No	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Please explain your answer to question 6.8:	
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
MiFID II requires that when investment firms manufacture financial instruments for sale to clients, they must make that:	
• those instruments are designed to meet the needs of an identified target market of end clients	sur
• the strategy for distribution of the financial instruments is compatible with the identified target market	sur
 and they must take reasonable steps to ensure that the financial instrument is distributed to the identified market 	sur
The investment firms that offer or recommend such financial instruments (the distributors) must be able to under them, assess their compatibility with the needs of their clients and take into account the identified target market clients.	arge
them, assess their compatibility with the needs of their clients and take into account the identified target market clients.	arge stand
them, assess their compatibility with the needs of their clients and take into account the identified target market clients. Question 6.9 Does the target market determination process (at the leve	arge stand
them, assess their compatibility with the needs of their clients and take into account the identified target market clients. Question 6.9 Does the target market determination process (at the level both manufacturers and distributors) need to be improved or clarified?	arge stand
them, assess their compatibility with the needs of their clients and take into account the identified target market clients. Question 6.9 Does the target market determination process (at the level both manufacturers and distributors) need to be improved or clarified? Yes	arge stan
them, assess their compatibility with the needs of their clients and take into account the identified target market clients. Question 6.9 Does the target market determination process (at the level both manufacturers and distributors) need to be improved or clarified?	arge stand

Please explain your answer to question 6.9:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Demands and needs test (specific to the Insurance Distribution Directive (IDD))
Before selling an insurance product or insurance-based investment product, insurance distributors are obliged to have a dialogue with their customers to determine their demands and needs so that they are able to propose products offering adequate characteristics and coverage for the specific situation of the customer. Any products proposed must be consistent with the customer's demands and needs. In the case of insurance-based investment products, this requirement comes in addition to the suitability assessment.
Question 6.10 To what extent do you agree that, in its current form, the demands and needs test is effective in avoiding mis-selling of insurance
products and in ensuring that products distributed correspond to the
individual situation of the customer?
Strongly disagree
Disagree
Neutral
Agree
Strongly agree
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.10:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 6.11 Can you identify any problems with the demands and needs test, in particular its application in combination with the suitability assessment in the case of insurance-based investment products?

165
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please specify what problems you identify and explain your answer to
question 6.11:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 6.11:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
The IDD does not contain detailed rules on the demands and needs test and leaves it to Member States to decide on
the details of how the test is applied in practice. This results in differences between Member States.
Question 6.12 Are more detailed rules needed in EU law regarding the
demands and needs test to make sure that it is applied in the same manner
throughout the internal market?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
and the state of t
Please explain your answer to question 6.12:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

online distribution of insurance products?
Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Question 6.13.2 Are procedural improvements or additional rules or guidance needed to ensure the correct and efficient application of the test in cases of online distribution?
© Yes
O No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 6.13:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
7. Reviewing the framework for investor categorisation

Question 6.13.1 Is the demands and needs test sufficiently adapted to the

As announced under Action 8 of the <u>capital markets union action plan</u>, the Commission intends to assess the

appropriateness of the existing investor categorisation framework and, if appropriate, adopt a legislative proposal aimed at reducing the administrative burden and information requirements for a subset of retail investors. This will involve the review of the existing investor categorisation (namely the criteria required to qualify as a professional investor) or the introduction of a new category of *qualified* investor in MiFID II.

Currently, under MiFID II, retail investors are defined as those that do not qualify to be professional investors. Where investors choose to opt into the professional category, the intermediary must warn the investor of the level of protection they will cease to have and the investor must comply with at least two of the three following criteria

- the client has carried out transactions, in significant size, on the relevant market for the financial instrument or for similar instruments with an average frequency of at least 10 transactions per quarter over the previous four quarters
- the size of the client's financial instrument portfolio composed of cash deposits and financial instruments must be larger than €500,000
- the client currently holds or has held for at least one year a professional position in the financial sector which requires knowledge of the envisaged financial transactions or services

Retail investors are currently subject to a number of additional investment protection measures, such as prohibition to acquire certain products as well as additional disclosure information. Some stakeholders have argued that for certain investors that currently fall under the retail investor category, these protections are not necessary. The creation of a new client category or the modification of the existing requirements for professional clients on request could thus give a subset of investors a broader and more comprehensive access to the capital markets and would bring additional sources of funding to the EU economy.

A well-developed set-up could allow the preservation of the necessary investor protection while improving the engagement in the capital markets.

The 2020 consultation on MiFID already addressed the question of a possible new category of semi professional investor, and the following questions follow-up on the main findings.

Question 7.1 What would you consider the most appropriate approach for ensuring more appropriate client categorisation?

	Yes	No	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Introduction of an additional client category (semi-professional) of investors	0	0	0
Adjusting the definition of professional investors on request	0	•	0
No changes to client categorisation (other measures, i.e. increase product access and lower information requirements for all retail investors)	©	©	©

Please explain your answer to question 7.1:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	

Question 7.2 How might the following criteria be amended for professional investors upon request?

- a) The client has carried out transactions, in significant size, on the relevant market at an average frequency of 10 per quarter over the previous four quarters.
 - No change

relevant market
10 transactions on financial instruments over the last 12 months, on the relevant market
Other criteria to measure a client's experience
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please specify to what other criteria to measure a client's experience you
refer in your answer to question 7.2 a):
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 7.2 a): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
b) The size of the client's financial instrument portfolio, defined as including cash deposits and financial instruments exceeds EUR 500,000.
No change
Exceeds EUR 250,000
Exceeds EUR 100,000
Exceeds EUR 100,000 and a minimum annual income of EUR 100,000
Other criteria to measure a client's capacity to bear loss
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please specify to what other criteria to measure a client's capacity to bear
loss you refer in your answer to question 7.2 b):
loss you refer in your answer to question 7.2 b): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

30 transactions on financial instruments over the last 12 months, on the

Please explain your answer to question 7.2 b):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
c) The client works or has worked in the financial sector for at least one year in a professional position, which requires knowledge of the transactions of services envisaged.
 No change Extend definition to include relevant experience beyond the financial sector (e.g. in a finance department of a company) Adjust the reference to the term 'transactions' in the criteria to instead refer to 'financial instruments'
 Other criteria to measure a client's financial knowledge Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please specify to what other criteria to measure a client's financia knowledge you refer in your answer to question 7.2 c): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 7.2 c): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

-	nts need to qualify for 2 out of the existing 3 criteria to qualify as sional investors. Should there be an additional fourth criterion, and if
so, whi	ch one?
[◎] No	change
	elevant certified education or training that allows to understand financial struments, markets and their related risks
Ar	academic degree in the area of finance/business/economics
Ex	perience as an executive or board member of a company of a significant
	sperience as a business angel (i.e. evidenced by membership of a siness angel association)
	her criteria to assess a client's ability to make informed investment cisions
© Do	on't know / no opinion / not applicable
Diagon	anasify to what ather evitoria to assess a alient's ability to make
	specify to what other criteria to assess a client's ability to make
informe	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe	
informe	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
5000 cha	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe 5000 cha including	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum Spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
informer 5000 chaincluding Please 5000 chaincluding	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum Spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. explain your answer to question 7.2 d):
informe 5000 cha including Please 5000 cha	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum Spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. explain your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum
informer 5000 chaincluding Please 5000 chaincluding	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum Spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. explain your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum
informe 5000 cha including Please 5000 cha	ed investment decisions you refer in your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum Spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. explain your answer to question 7.2 d): Aracter(s) maximum

Companies below the thresholds currently set out in MiFID II (2 of 3: turnover of €40 mln, balance sheet of €20 mln and own funds of €2 mln) would also qualify as retail investors.

Question 7.3 Would you see merit in reducing these thresholds in order to make it easier for companies to carry out transactions as professional clients?

\bigcirc	No	cha	ange
------------	----	-----	------

- Reduce thresholds by half
- Other criteria to allow companies to qualify as professional clients
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please specify to what other criteria to allow companies to qualify as professional clients you refer in your answer to question 7.3:

_
_

8. Inducements and quality of advice

EU legislation sets out requirements on the provision of investment advice and around the payment of commissions and other forms of inducements to sellers of financial products. In the case of investment services and activities, investment firms must, for example, inform the prospective client whether any advice provided is on an independent basis, about the range of products being offered and any conflicts of interest that may impair independence. Use of inducements is restricted (i.e. any payment must be designed to enhance the quality of the relevant service to the client and it must not impair compliance with the investment firm's duty to act honestly, fairly and professionally in accordance with the best interest of its clients). Any payments to investment firms for the distribution of investment products must also be clearly disclosed. The rules slightly differ for the sale of insurance-based investment products: inducements may only be received if they do not have a detrimental impact on the quality of the service to the customer. However, there is no general prohibition on the payment of inducements if the seller declares that advice is given independently. Under UCITS and AIFMD, asset managers are also subject to rules on conflict of interests and inducements.

However despite these rules, concerns have been expressed that the payment of inducements may lead to conflicts of interest and biased advice, since salespersons may be tempted to recommend products that pay the highest inducements, irrespective of whether or not it is the best product for the client. For this reason, the Netherlands has

banned the payment of inducements. On the other hand, other stakeholders have argued that the consequence of banning inducements might be that certain retail investors would be unable or unwilling to obtain advice, for which they would need to pay. Questions on inducements have also been asked in the MiFID/R consultation which was conducted at the beginning of 2020.

Question 8.1 How effective do you consider the following measures to/would be in protecting retail investors against receiving biased advice due to potential conflicts of interest?

	1 (not at all effective)	2 (rather not effective)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat effective)	5 (very effective)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Ensuring transparency of inducements for clients	©	©	•	©	©	•
An obligation to disclose the amount of inducement paid	•	•	©	©	©	©
Allowing inducements only under certain conditions, e. g. if they serve the improvement of quality	•	•	•	•	•	•

Obliging distributors to assess the investment products they recommend against similar products available on the market in terms of overall cost and expected performance				
Introducing specific record-keeping and reporting requirements for distributors of retail investment products to provide a breakdown of products distributed,	•	•	•	

thus allowing for supervisory scrutiny and better enforcement of the existing rules on inducements							
Introducing a ban on all forms of inducements for every retail investment product across the Union	•	•	•	•	•	•	

5000 character(s) maximum	er to question o. r.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e.	stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 8.2 If all forms of induceme	ent were banned for every retail investment product across the Union:
a) what impacts would	this have on the availability of advice for retail
investors? Please explain	your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e.	stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answ	is have on the quality of advice for retail investors?
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e.	stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
c) what impacts would th	is have on the way in which retail investors would
-	-
invest in financial instrum	ents? Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e.	stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

5000 character(s) maximum cluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS	S Word characters	counting method.	
uestion 8.3 Do the current rules ufficient protection for retail investontential conflicts of interest:			
	Yes	No	Don't know No opinion Not applicable
In the case of investment products distributed under the MiFID II framework?	0	0	0
In the case of insurance-based investment products distributed under the IDD framework?	0	0	0
In the case of inducements paid to providers of online platforms/comparison websites?	©	0	0
ease explain your answer to question (2000 character(s) maximum (cluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS		counting method.	
uestion 8.4 Should the rules on the stributors of products sold to retail D?			-
NoDon't know / no opinion / not applica	blo		

d) what impacts would this have on how much retail investors would invest

Please explain your answer to question 8.4: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 8.5 How should inducements be regulated?
Ensuring transparency of inducements for clients Ensuring transparency of inducements for clients, including an obligation to disclose the amount of inducement paid Allowing inducements only under certain conditions, e.g. if they serve the improvement of quality Obliging distributors to assess the investment products they recommend against similar products available on the market Introducing specific record-keeping and reporting requirements for distributors of retail investment products to provide a breakdown of products distributed, thus allowing for supervisory scrutiny and better enforcement of the existing rules on inducements Introducing a ban on all forms of inducements for every retail investment product across the Union Other Please explain your answer to question 8.5: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The use of payments for order flow (PFOF), where a broker (or an investment firm) directs the orders of its clients to a single third party for execution against remuneration, appears to be increasingly popular as a business model, in particular in the context of on-line brokerage. This practice is raising concerns in terms of potential conflicts of interest due to payment of inducements and possible breach of the obligations surrounding best execution of the client's orders (i.e. an obligation to execute orders on terms that are most favourable to the client).

Question 8.6 Do you see a need for legislative changes (or other measures)
to address conflicts of interest, receipt of inducements and/or best execution
issues surrounding the compensation of brokers (or firms) based on
payment for order flow from third parties?
[©] Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
If you do see a need for legislative changes, please detail the changes you
would consider relevant:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 8.6:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 9.7 Do you see a need to improve the best execution regime in
Question 8.7 Do you see a need to improve the best execution regime in
order to ensure that retail investors always get the best possible terms for
the execution of their orders?
© Yes
[©] No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 8.7:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Financial advisors play a critical role in the distribution of retail investment products, however standards (levels of qualifications, knowledge, skills, etc.) differ across Member States. In order to reduce the risk of mis-selling, increase individual investors' confidence in advice and create a level playing field for market operators offering advice in different Member States, the 2020 CMU action plan proposed that certain professional standards for advisors should be set of further improved.
Question 8.8 Would you see merit in developing a voluntary pan-EU label for
financial advisors to promote high-level common standards across the EU?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 8.8 and indicate what would be the main advantages and disadvantages:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
If you would see merit in developing that voluntary pan-EU label, what would you consider the essential characteristics of such a label and how should it be similar to or different from those that already exist in the market?
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Robo-advisors, i.e. online platforms providing automated investment advice (and in many cases also portfolio

Robo-advisors, i.e. online platforms providing automated investment advice (and in many cases also portfolio management) are in principle subject to the same investor protection rules as traditional "human" advisors under the MiFID and IDD frameworks. While robo-advisors may offer advantages for retail investors, in particular lower fees, accessible investment thresholds and in principle often impartial advice (unbiased by payment of inducements), robo-advisors may also present risks resulting from, e.g. simplistic non-dynamic algorithms which may not create efficient investment portfolios.

Question 8.9 Are robo-advisors (or hybrid advisors) regulated in a manner sufficient to protect retail investors?

Yes
[©] No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 8.9:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 8.10 The use of robo-advisors, while increasing, has not taken off
as might have been expected and remains limited in the EU
What do you consider to be the main reason for this?
Lack of awareness about the existence of robo-advisors
Greater trust in human advice
Other
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Places enseify to what other recent(s) you refer in your enswer to question
Please specify to what other reason(s) you refer in your answer to question 8.10:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 8.10:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 8.11 Are there any unnecessary barriers hindering the take-up of
robo-advice?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
If such unnecessary barriers do exist, which measures could be taken to
address them?
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please explain your answer to question 8.11: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
9. Addressing the complexity of products
Financial products, including those targeted at retail investors, are often highly complex and often not properly understood by retail investors. Consumer representatives have therefore been regularly calling for simple, transparent and cost-efficient products. Less complex products suitable for retail investors exist in different areas, such as UCITS and certain Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs), and have been set as the default option of PEPP.
Question 9.1 Do you consider that further measures should be taken at EU
level to facilitate access of retail investors to simpler investment products?
○ Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9.1:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 9.2 If further measures were to be taken by the EU to address the complexity of products:
a) Should they aim to reinforce or adapt execution of orders rules to better
suit digital and online purchases of complex products by retail investors?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9.2 a):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
b) Should they aim to make more explicit the rules which prohibit excess
complexity of products that are sold to retail investors?
Yes
No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9.2 b):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
c) Should they aim to develop a new label for simple products?
Yes

No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9.2 c):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
d) Should they aim to define and regulate simple, products (e.g. similar to PEPP)?
© Yes
© No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9.2 d):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
e) Should they aim to tighten the rules restricting the sale of very complex
products to certain categories of investors?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 9.2 e):
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
The state of the s

f) Should they have another aim?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please specify to what other aim you refer and explain your answer to
question 9.2 f):
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
10. Redress
There will be occasions when things go wrong with an investment, e.g. if products have been mis-sold to the retail investor. Retail investors have the possibility to address their complaint directly to the firm: MiFID, for example, requires investment firms to establish, implement and maintain effective and transparent complaints management policies and procedures for the prompt handling of clients' complaints and similar provisions are contained in the recent <u>Crowdfunding Regulation</u> . Redress can also be sought through non-judicial dispute resolution procedures or can be obtained in national courts. In certain cases, where large numbers of consumers have suffered harm, collective redress can also be obtained.
Question 10.1 How important is it for retail investors when taking an investment decision (in particular when investing in another Member State),
that they will have access to rapid and effective redress should something go
wrong?
Not at all important
Rather not important
Neutral
Somewhat important
Very important
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 10.1:
5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

details of t information	0.2 According to MIF he process to be fol n must be provided jing a complaint and complaint	lowed when ha	andling a co nt on reque	mplaint. Such
le the MiEl	D II roquiroment suff	ficient to ensu	ro an official	nt and timely
	D II requirement suff the clients' complaint		re an emcle	nt and timely
Yes	•			
No				
Don't kı	now / no opinion / not ap	pplicable		
	and line breaks, i.e. stricter than			
	0.3 As a retail investor, obtain redress throuprocedure?	_		_
[©] No				
Don't kı	now / no opinion / not ap	plicable		
5000 character(ain your answer to que (s) maximum and line breaks, i.e. stricter than		rs countina method.	
	,		<u> </u>	

investments/insurance based investments?
Not at all effective
Rather not effective
Neutral
Somewhat effective
Very effective
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 10.4:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 10.5 Are further efforts needed to improve redress in the context of retail investment products: Please select as many answers as you like
retail investment products: Please select as many answers as you like
retail investment products:
retail investment products: Please select as many answers as you like Domestically?
retail investment products: Please select as many answers as you like Domestically? In a cross border context?

Certain groups of consumers (e.g. the elderly, over-indebted or those with disabilities) can be particularly vulnerable and may need specific safeguards. If the process of obtaining redress is too complex and burdensome for such consumers and lacks a specially adapted process (e.g. assistance on the phone), redress may not be an effective

option for them.

Question 10.4 How effective are existing out of court/alternative dispute

resolution procedures at addressing consumer complaints related to retail

90

10.6 To what extent do you think that consumer redress in retail investment
products is accessible to vulnerable consumers (e.g. over-indebted, elderly,
those with disabilities)?
Not accessible at all
Rather not accessible
Neutral
Somewhat accessible
Very accessible
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 10.6:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
11. Product intervention powers
ESMA has been given the power to temporarily prohibit or restrict the marketing, distribution or sale of financial instruments with certain specified features or a type of financial activity or practice (these are known as 'product intervention powers'). EIOPA has similar powers with regard to insurance-based investment products. These powers have been used by ESMA in the past for certain types of high risk product e.g. binary options and contracts for differences (CFDs).
Question 11.1 Are the European Supervisory Authorities and/or national
supervisory authorities making sufficiently effective use of their existing
product intervention powers?
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 11.1:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

	Does the application of product intervention powers available
-	ervisory authorities need to be further converged?
Yes	
No No	
Don't know	v / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain	your answer to question 11.2:
5000 character(s) m	aximum
including spaces and	line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 11.3	Do the product intervention powers of the Europear
	•
Supervisory Au	Do the product intervention powers of the Europear at the intervention powers of the Europear at the control of
Supervisory Au	•
Supervisory Au	•
Supervisory Au Yes No	uthorities need to be reinforced?
Supervisory Au Yes No	•
Supervisory Au Yes No Don't know	uthorities need to be reinforced? v / no opinion / not applicable
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:
Yes No Don't know	y / no opinion / not applicable your answer to question 11.3:

Citizens are today increasingly aware of the serious economic, environmental and social risks arising from climate change. As retail investors, they are also becoming conscious of the potential contribution they might make towards mitigating those risks by making more sustainable choices when investing and managing their savings. The 2018 Europ ean Commission's action plan on financing sustainable growth set the basis for increasing the level of transparency on sustainability investments, through disclosure rules (e.g. Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation) and labels (e.g.

EU Ecolabel), thereby substantially reducing the risk of greenwashing. In addition, the integration of retail investors' sustainability preferences as a top-up to the suitability assessment and financial advice in IDD and MIFID II delegated acts will ensure that clients are offered financial products and instruments that meet their sustainability preferences.

Question 12.1 What is most important to you when investing your savings?

	(most important)	2	(least important)
An investment that contributes positively to the environment and society	0	0	0
An investment that reduces the harm on the environment and society (e.g. environmental pollution, child labour etc.)	0	0	0
Financial returns	0	0	0

Question 12.2 What would help you most to take an informed decision as regards a sustainable investment?

	1 (not at all helpful)	(rather not helpful)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat helpful)	5 (very helpful)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Measurements demonstrating positive sustainability impacts of investments	•	•	•	•	•	•
Measurements demonstrating negative or low sustainability impacts of investments	©	•	•	•	•	•
Information on financial returns of sustainable investments compared to those of mainstream investments		©	©	©	©	©

Information on the share of						
financial institutions' activities that are sustainable	©	©	©	©	©	©
Require all financial products and instruments to inform about their sustainability ambition						
Obligation for financial advisers to offer at least one financial product with minimum sustainability ambition	•	•	•	•	•	•
All financial products offered should have a minimum of sustainability ambition	•	•	•	•	•	•

Question 12.3 What are the main factors preventing more sustainable investment?

	1 (not at all important)	2 (rather not important)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat important)	5 (very important)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
Poor financial advice on sustainable investment opportunities	•	•	•	©	•	•
Lack of sustainability-related information in pre-contractual disclosure	•	•	•	©	•	•
Lack of EU label on sustainability related information	•	•	©	©	•	•
Lack of financial products that would meet sustainability preferences	•	0	0	•	0	•

Financial products, although containing some sustainability ambition, focus primarily on financial performance	•	©	©	©	•	•
Fear of greenwashing (i. e. where the deceptive appearance is given that investment products are environmentally, socially or from a governance point of view, friendly)		•				
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please specify to what other factor(s) you refer in your answer to question
12.3:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Question 12.4 Do you consider that detailed guidance for financial advisers would be useful to ensure simple, adequate and sufficiently granular implementation of sustainable investment measures?
○ Yes
No Den't know / no eninion / not emplicable
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer to question 12.4:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
MiFID II regulates the way investment firms produce or arrange for the production of investment research to be disseminated to their clients or to the public. This concerns investment research i.e. research or other information recommending or suggesting an investment strategy, explicitly or implicitly, concerning one or several financial instruments or the issuer of financial instruments. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the research regime has been reviewed in order to facilitate the production of research on the small and medium enterprises and encourage more funding from the capital markets. In order to also encourage more sustainable investments, it is fundamental that investment research consider the E (environmental,) S (social) and G (corporate governance) factors of the Issuers and financial instruments covered by that research.
Question 12.5 Would you see any need to reinforce the current research
regime in order to ensure that ESG criteria are always considered?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer to question 12.5:

5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e	e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
13. Other issues	
Question 13 Are there	any other issues that have not been raised in this
	any other issues that have not been raised in this a think would be relevant to the future retail
-	lease explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum	ease explain your answer.
• *	e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	_
Additional informat	ion

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed